PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY TO: See form PCT/ISA/220				PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
ntern	national application No ÆP2005/000697		International filipg date 21.01.2005	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.01.2004
nterr		fication (IPC) or	both national classificatio	n and IPC	
 Appli	icant				
AKZ	O NOBEL N.V.				
1.	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the c			
1.	☑ Box No. I☐ Box No. II	Basis of the c	pinion		ventive step and industrial applicability
1.	☐ Box No. II☐ Box No. III	Basis of the c Priority Non-establish	pinion ment of opinion with re	egard to novelty, inv	ventive step and industrial applicability
1.	☑ Box No. I☐ Box No. II	Basis of the or Priority Non-establish Lack of unity	ppinion ment of opinion with re of invention	egard to novelty, inv	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial
1.	 ☑ Box No. I ☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V 	Basis of the c Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned st applicability; Certain docu	pinion ment of opinion with re of invention atement under Rule 43 citations and explanation	egard to novelty, inv bis.1(a)(i) with rega ons supporting suc	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI	Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned st applicability; Certain docu	pinion ment of opinion with re of invention atement under Rule 43 citations and explanation ments cited cts in the international a	egard to novelty, invibis.1(a)(i) with regains supporting suc	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VI	Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned st applicability; Certain docu	pinion ment of opinion with re of invention atement under Rule 43 citations and explanation ments cited cts in the international a	egard to novelty, invibis.1(a)(i) with regains supporting suc	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned st applicability; Certain docu Certain deferonce Certain observation	pinion ment of opinion with re of invention atement under Rule 43 citations and explanation ments cited cts in the international a	egard to novelty, invibis.1(a)(i) with regards supporting such application	ard to novelty, inventive step or industrial in statement
2.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion of the applicant chall burill not be so compared to the solution to the so compared to the solution to t	Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned st applicability; Certain docu Certain defectorial observational pof the International pof the International possible reau under Rubricau u	nment of opinion with resoft invention atement under Rule 43 citations and explanation ments cited at in the international attractions on the international reliminary examination on al Preliminary Examination or the feet of the feet o	egard to novelty, involved bis.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such application tional application is made, this opinion and Authority ("IPE to be the IPEA arm opinions of this line."	on will usually be considered to be a A.A.). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notified the international Searching Authority
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion of the applicant ch International Bu will not be so could this opinion is submit to the IP months from the whichever expire	Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned st applicability; Certain docu Certain defer Certain obse ION international pof the Internationses an Authoreau under Russidered. The provided a written rule of the International pof the Internationses an Authoreau under Russidered. The provided a written rule date of mailinger later.	nment of opinion with resof invention atement under Rule 43 citations and explanation ments cited ets in the international avations on the international Preliminary examination on all Preliminary Examination of the feet of	egard to novelty, involved bis.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such application tional application is made, this opinion and authority ("IPE to be the IPEA are nopinions of this line a written opinion of the line a written opinion of the line as written opinion opini	and to novelty, inventive step or industrial in statement on will usually be considered to be a second the chosen IPFA has notifed the
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion of the applicant characteristic laterational Buryll not be so could the point of the IP months from the	Basis of the of Priority Non-establish Lack of unity Reasoned st applicability; Certain docu Certain defer Certain obse ION international pof the Internationses an Authoreau under Russidered. The provided a written rule of the International pof the Internationses an Authoreau under Russidered. The provided a written rule date of mailinger later.	nment of opinion with resof invention atement under Rule 43 citations and explanation ments cited ets in the international avations on the international Preliminary examination on all Preliminary Examination of the feet of	egard to novelty, involved bis.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such application tional application is made, this opinion and authority ("IPE to be the IPEA are nopinions of this line a written opinion of the line a written opinion of the line as written opinion opini	on will usually be considered to be a EA"). However, this does not apply where all the chosen IPEA has notified the international Searching Authority

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Matthijssen, J-J

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3885



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000697

	Box No			
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international applicat the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 				
	lar (ui	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search or representation of the purposes of international search or representation or representation of the purposes of international search or representation of the purposes of international search or representation of the purposes of international search or representation or representation of the purposes of international search or representation		
2.	With re	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type of material:			
		a sequence listing		
		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. forn	nat of material:		
		in written format		
		in computer readable form		
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:		
		contained in the international application as filed.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
	1. Addit	ional comments:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/000697

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-18

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-18

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

es , , +

The following document D1, cited in the application, is referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: US 2003/180466 A1 (JENSEN JOHN MICHAEL ET AL) 25 September 2003 (2003-09-25)

1 Novelty

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-18 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

A claim defining a product for a particular use e.g. blocking of stains, is to be construed as meaning a product which is in fact suitable for the stated use. A known product which prima facie is the same as the product defined in the claim and is in the form in which it is in fact suitable for the stated use is novelty destroying for the subject matter of said claim (PCT Guidelines Part II, 5.21).

Claims 1-18: Document D1 discloses a clear aqueous coating composition with anti-soil and anti-spotting properties comprising > 10 % wt. hydrotalcite nano size clay (§0019; 0044; 0052 and 0078), a dispersants (§0087), a surfactant, a PU resin (§0263 and example 1) and optional additives (§0197). The coating can be used on unclean and stained surfaces (§0091). In the case where the substrate is chosen from wood, water extractable agents are present in the substrate, as such the method of claim 10, the coated substrate of claim 13 and the use of claim 15 are anticipated in D1 (§0040). Therefore, the subject matter of claims 1-18 is not novel in view of D1.

Re Item VIII

Claim 8: The addition of the percentages of the individual coating components surpasses 100 % wt. when the amount of the water borne organic binder is chosen at 100% wt.

Claim 9 is formulated as a product claim, in the current form it does not contain any additional subject matter over claim 1 and is therefore redundant.

Claims 3 and 17: The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that the term "optionally" in claims 3 and 17, has no limiting affect on the scope of the claim, that is to say, the feature following said term is to be regarded as entirely optional (Guidelines C-111, 4.6).